

EXPLORING ANAESTHETISTS' VIEWS ON THE CARBON FOOTPRINT OF ANAESTHESIA AND IDENTIFYING OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES FOR REDUCING ITS IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT



Matilde Breth-Petersen1, Alexandra Barratt1, Forbes McGain2, Justin Skowno3,4, George Zhong5, Andrew Weatherall3,4, Katy Bell1, Kristen Pickles1*

1 Sydney School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney 2006, Australia;

2 Western Health, Melbourne; Department of Critical Care Medicine, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, 3010, Australia

3 School of Child and Adolescent Health, Sydney Medical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Australia.

4 The Children's Hospital Westmead; 5 Westmead Hospital *Correspondence: kristen.pickles@sydney.edu.au

BACKGROUND

- Healthcare accounts for 7% of Australia's carbon emissions, half of which is produced by hospitals(1).
- Anaesthesia contributes to a large proportion of waste produced in surgical operating rooms(2).
- Volatile agents having a particularly significant greenhouse gas effect compared to using intravenous anaesthesia(3).
- A shift in practice by anaesthetists away from anaesthetic gases with high global warming potential could result in significant carbon and cost savings for the health system(4).

OBJECTIVES & METHODS

Objectives - to explore in-depth:

- 1) Anaesthetists' perspectives on, and knowledge of, the carbon footprint of anaesthesia;
- 2) How perspectives of the environmental impact of anaesthesia has impacted anaesthetists' practice and choice of anaesthetic;
- 3) Anaesthetists' perceptions of opportunities & challenges to shifting towards more environmentally sustainable anaesthesia

Methods:

- Qualitative semi-structured interviews with 28 anaesthetists from four Western Sydney hospitals.
- Data analysis: framework approach using NVivo.

Table 1 Participant characteristics (N=28)

Demographic variable	Category	n (%)
Age (<i>M</i> = 43 years)	<30	1 (3.6)
	31-40	12 (42.9)
	41-50	10 (35.7)
	51-60	4 (14.3)
	>60	1 (3.6)
Gender	Female	11 (39.3)
	Male	17 (60.7)
Clinical area	General	18 (64.3)
	Paediatrics	4 (14.3)
	Obstetrics	1 (3.6)
	Cardiac	4 (14.3)
	ENT/neuro/urology	1 (3.6)
Yr completed training	1990-1999	2 (7.1)
	2000-2009	6 (21.4)
	2010-2019	14 (50)
	2020+	5 (17.9)
	Still in training	1 (3.6)
% private practice	0%	9 (32.1)
	1-10%	5 (17.9)
	11-20%	4 (14.3)
	21-30%	2 (7.1)
	31-40%	4 (14.3)
	41-50%	3 (10.7)
	>50%	1 (3.6)
Main anaesthetic	Sevoflurane	18 (64.3)
	Propofol TIVA	6 (21.4)
	Nitrous oxide	1 (3.6)
	Desflurane	1 (3.6)
	Hard to tell	2 (7.1)

RESULTS

"Why would you use [Desflurane]?
Don't you love your kids?" [P30]

"The impact is minimal enough that [Desflurane] is worthwhile using" [P9]

"Most wanna give the same anaesthetic everyday... there's a certainty and comfort in that" [P13]

"Using a volatile is, for me, a more obvious choice 'cause it saves me time in setting up things" [P18]

Table 2 Themes & Subthemes

Views on environmental impacts & how these influence behaviour

Environmental care is secondary to patient safety

Sense of personal responsibility and guilt

Recognition that all agents have an environmental impact

Perceived barriers to shifting towards lower emissions anaesthesia

Personal beliefs that the environmental impact is minimal

Gaps in knowledge, skills, and experience

Habitual use of particular anaesthetic agents

Social norms & professional culture of anaesthesia

Time pressures and availability of resources

Uncertainty about changing practice due to gaps in current evidence

"Clinical care will always trump environmental impacts" [P12]

"While I decrease one, all I'm doing is increasing the other by making its production more so" [P23]

"For a lot of anaesthetists, TIVA is still a relatively new concept...and they are reluctant to use it" [P29]

"People like to follow the common practice in the department" [P13]

"We need more research into this area... especially propofol... its whole environmental impact." [P9]

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

- We identified key opportunities & challenges to reducing the carbon footprint of anaesthesia in Australian hospitals via individual behaviour change.
- These findings, when mapped onto a behavioural change framework, help to inform the design and development of communication and behavioural interventions aimed at mitigating the carbon emissions of anaesthesia & healthcare more broadly.

REFERENCES

- Malik, A., Lenzen, M., McAlister, S., & McGain, F. (2018). The carbon footprint of Australian health care. The Lancet Planetary Health, 2(1), e27-e35.
- 2. MacNeill, A. J., Lillywhite, R., & Brown, C. J. (2017). The impact of surgery on global climate: a carbon footprinting study of operating theatres in three health systems. The Lancet Planetary Health. 1(9). e381-e388.
- Lim, A., Braat, S., Hiller, J., & Riedel, B. (2018). Inhalational versus propofol-based total intravenous anaesthesia: practice patterns and perspectives among Australasian anaesthetists. Anaesthesia and intensive care, 46(5), 480-487.
- 4. McGain, F., Muret, J., Lawson, C., & Sherman, J. D. (2020). Environmental sustainability in anaesthesia and critical care. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 125(5), 680-692.



